	1
1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	BEFORE THE
3	TEXAS LOTTERY COMMISSION
4	AUSTIN, TEXAS
5	BINGO ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
6	BINGO ADVISORI COMMITTEE MEETING
7	
8	
9	
10	AUGUST 6, 2019
11	10:00 a.m.
12	AT
13	TEXAS LOTTERY COMMISSION 611 East 6th Street
14	Austin, Texas 78701
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Proceedings reported by electronic sound recording; transcript prepared by Verbatim Reporting & Transcription
25	LLC.

```
1
                               APPEARANCES
 2
     COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
 3
     William T. Smith III
     Kimberly Rogers
 4
     Will Martin
 5
 6
     Tommy Duncan
     Jason Pohl
 7
     Melodye Green
 8
 9
     Emile Bourgoyne
10
11
     COMMISSION STAFF:
12
     Michael P. Farrell, Director
     Tyler Vance, Staff attorney
13
14
     Bob Biard, General Counsel
15
16
     PUBLIC:
17
     Steve Bresnan
18
     Chris Keller
19
     Floyd Olive
20
     Tom Stewart
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	1.	PAGE Call to order4
3	2.	American Pledge4
4	3.	Texas Pledge 4
5	4.	Roll call
6 7	5.	Meeting minutes from 21 Mar 2019 - Public comment.5
8	6.	Report on TLC Commissioners meeting (Tres) 6
9	7.	BAC Annual Report (Kim Rogers) - Public comment. 6
10	8.	BAC work plan for next year
11		new ideas to the Texas Lottery Commission Staff and commissioners
12		- Give opinion and input to the Texas Lottery Commission staff and
13		commissioners on bingo related bills passed in 2019 Assist and give input on upcoming and rule
14		making/rule review Compose the End of Year Report.
15	9.	Discussion and possible action on rules and
16 17	<b>3.</b>	regulations regarding bills passed in 2019 Legislative session (HB914, HB882 & others) - Public comment
18	10.	Discussion and possible action on BAC nominations:
19	10.	- Public comment
20		- General Public Member
21	11.	Discussion & possible action about Temporaries on Demand (Melody) - Public comment 42
22	12.	Any old business - Public comment 47
23	13.	Any new business - Public comment 61
24	14.	Set date for next meeting
25	15.	Adjourn

	5
1	MR. MARTIN: Here.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Melodye Green.
3	MS. GREENE: Here.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Tommy Duncan.
5	MR. DUNCAN: Here.
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Jason Pohl.
7	MR. POHL: Here.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: You know where we're going.
9	MR. BOURGOYNE: Here.
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We are all
11	present. Let the record show that we are still two people
12	short on the Bingo Advisory Committee. The Charity Lessor
13	and the Public Member, which will we get to here in just a
14	few minutes.
15	AGENDA ITEM 5
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Meeting minutes from 21st of
17	March, 2019.
18	MS. ROGERS: Yes. I have them here. I've
19	read through them. Don't know if anyone had any chance. I
20	didn't find anything was wrong. I do motion that we accept
21	these.
22	MR. BOURGOYNE: I second that.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I have a motion and a second.
24	All in favor?
25	(Chorus of "ayes")

1	6 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any public
2	comment on the meetings from that meeting? All right.
3	AGENDA ITEM 6
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Report on Texas Lottery
5	Commissioner's meeting. We had a quick meeting. I gave a
6	report from our last meeting to the commissioners. They were
7	very grateful. I reminded them that we will be asking them
8	to reconstitute, or reinstate, the Bingo Advisory Committee
9	for another year and they said they would look forward to
10	doing that. So very short, quick meeting.
11	AGENDA ITEM 7
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Number 7, BAC Annual Report,
13	Kim Rogers. Let me just say before we all get into this, Kim
14	has done a fantastic job on this. She is a much better
15	report composer than I will ever be. So I have to give her
16	due credit on this.
17	MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: But if you messed up it's all
19	your fault, too.
20	MS. ROGERS: Okay, understand, understand.
21	Thank you.
22	MR. FARRELL: Kim, do you have a copy of that?
23	MS. ROGERS: I think I do.
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I have one.
25	MR. FARRELL: Thanks.

MS. ROGERS: Rule 402.102 states that the BAC 1 2 will report annually to the commission, and the BAC's perspective on the state of charitable bingo in Texas. 3 Specifically commenting on gross receipts, net receipts, 4 5 charitable distributions, expenses, attendance, and any other matter that the commission brings to our attention that they 6 7 want to know about. Some of these figures may not have been 9 audited, we did get them all off the lottery's website. So 10 if they're wrong, it's their fault, not mine. 11 There are some charts on the back that you can 12 The first one that we have isn't just -- well, on your 13 last page, the top. Adjusted gross receipts. You see that 14 it has increased in '18. 15 So by going back through other annual reports 16 and taking into consideration, some notes say that this is 17 attributed to the increased sales of pull-tabs over the 18 years. Which decrease the net receipts. You can tell it's 19 increased the taxes, the prizes, the paid-out, the cost of 20 goods sold, additional payroll that pull-tabs, event tabs, 21 require. 22 The push for card sales to increase is really 23 needed, and I think we all understand that, because that's 24 what will bring up our net receipts. And I see a lot, especially in San Antonio, I think there were maybe five 25

halls that didn't have negative net receipts. So it's
something that's there.

The net receipts is the next thing. I believe that's on the page before that. I apologize they're not together. You can see net receipts is actually down a little bit from '17. The decrease in card sales with a fixed payout has by some been attributed to the decrease in the net receipts from '17 to '18.

So charitable distributions are next. They have remained higher. They're under -- actually there's not a chart for that one. Sorry about that. Distributed -- they remain higher than required. '18 was one of the highest since 2010. Expenses have increased, and that was shown. Attendance has had a steady decrease '18, yet the spin-perperson was shown to increase.

In conclusion, it's just, basically, what we've all talked about. Adjusted gross receipts climbing higher, yet the net receipts are not. Once again, it may be attributed to the higher sales of pull-tabs, which has caused higher tax payments, costs of goods and payroll.

Others could speculate that due to the market prices going so far down for the price of a computer and to play bingo, it's at an all-time low in some areas. When we find that we make both card sales and pull-tabs grow, event tabs grow together, of course we've found that magical golden

1 egg. 2 Management of expenses are critical. everyone can agree, I'm sure. The assistants with no license 3 fees is a tremendous help that hopefully 2019 numbers will 5 really show. We were -- the BAC was reorganized in 2018 and we're working diligently to bring forward to the staff what 6 7 the industry needs to help all charities. I think with the House Bills that got through, 8 9 everything -- 2019 has truly started off to be helpful to 10 bingo. Last quarter, I don't know about that one, but -- and 11 that's the report. Any questions? No. 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. We have any public 13 comment on what we talked about already? 14 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Can we get a copy of 15 that? 16 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes. We will do that. 17 Absolutely. 18 MS. ROGERS: I believe Michael will make it 19 public on our website, also. 20 MR. FARRELL: Yeah, that's our intent. 21 MS. ROGERS: Yes, sir. And, Tres, you will be 22 giving the commissioners a slide version of this? 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, absolutely. Once again remind everyone that the BAC will have to give this report as 24 25 required tomorrow at the BAC -- the Texas Lottery

- 1 | Commissioner's Meeting. Not only will we have to give this,
- 2 | but we also have to give our work plan for next year, which
- 3 | is our next agenda item. So, I think it would behoove us to
- 4 take a vote to approve this so we can say that we voted on
- 5 this, we all had a hand in this. If everybody agrees with
- 6 that.
- 7 MR. POHL: I move.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right, we have a motion.
- 9 And a second, please?
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Second.
- 11 CHAIRMAN SMITH: A motion and a second. All
- 12 | in favor?
- (Chorus of "ayes")
- 14 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any opposed? All right, let
- 15 | it show unanimous. Very good.
- 16 AGENDA ITEM 8
- 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Next item. The
- 18 BAC work plans for next year. Very simple. Assist the
- 19 industry in bringing forward issues and new ideas to the
- 20 Texas Lottery Commission staff and commissioners. Give
- 21 opinion and input to the Texas Lottery Commission staff and
- 22 | commissioners on bingo-related bills passed in 2019. Assist
- 23 and give input on upcoming rulemaking and rule review. And
- 24 compose the End of Year Report.
- Any comments on that, or anybody want to add

- anything to that? Take anything away? Any public comment on that? Okay.
- 3 MR. BRESNAN: Tres.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes. Steve Bresnan.
- 5 MR. BRESNAN: Is there in the -- do you all
- 6 | consider that broad enough to accomplish the rulemaking
- 7 | that'll have to be done as a -- as a result of House Bill
- 8 914?
- 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes. Yes. It's just giving
- 10 | an incoming -- or input on the incoming -- the rulemaking and
- 11 the rule.
- MR. BRESNAN: Okay. And do I understand from
- 13 it that there's a -- the periodic rule review that is
- 14 required to be done will be done in the coming years?
- 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes. I think we start that
- 16 in October. Is that correct, Mike?
- 17 MR. FARRELL: Yep. We're looking at -- this
- 18 | Michael Farrell, we're looking at starting that come October.
- 19 Once we get the 914 rules in play -- in place, and get
- 20 approved, then we're going to start looking at the -- maybe
- 21 | it's the quadrennial rule review, or every four years, give
- 22 or take.
- MR. BRESNAN: Right. Man, four years goes by
- 24 | fast, doesn't it?
- 25 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes it does. Yes it does.

```
Okay, any other comment? All right. If everybody's in favor of that, I would like to make a motion we accept our BAC work plan for next year.
```

4 MS. ROGERS: I'll second that motion.

5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: A motion and a second. Any -

6 - all in favor?

(Chorus of "ayes")

8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any opposed? Okay. All

9 right.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## AGENDA ITEM 9

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Number 9, discussion and possible action on rules and regulations regarding bills passed in 2019 Legislative session, House Bill 914, 882 and the others that we're going to talk about today is House Bill 1200.

If it's all right with y'all, I know Michael's made it clear, and lottery staff's made it clear, that they're going to wait a little bit on 914. They're still compiling some stuff. So they're really not -- unless you've got something specific that you really want to address on 914, you might want to hold that off to the next meeting, and deal with 882 and House Bill 1200, which I understand that the lottery commissioners have had to have a draft proposal tomorrow. Is that correct, Mike?

MR. FARRELL: YeP, for 882. Oh, you want to

answer that?

2.5

MR. VANCE: Sure. So I'm Tyler Vance,
Assistant General Counsel. You guys have been provided this
redline document in front of you. If you look on the first
page, it's got at the top House Bill 882 and then Senate Bill
1200. Rules pursuant to those new bills are going to be
proposed tomorrow. They're fairly noncontroversial.

HB882 extends the time of bingo occasion from four to six hours, so you'll see that rule, the two rule amendment for that, one for regular licenses and one for temporary licenses. That law was effective, I believe, June 16th-ish. So we needed to really jump on that rule adoption. What we're going to propose tomorrow will be adopted in October.

And the 1200, SB1200, allows military spouses to engage in a licensed occupation without obtaining that license provided that they are currently licensed in good standing in another state that has substantially similar requirements.

So if somebody was licensed, say, to be a bingo manufacturer in Oklahoma, and Oklahoma has similar requirements to us, if that person moves to Texas because their spouse is stationed at an Air Force base, they're allowed to work in Texas as a bingo manufacturer without a license for up to three years.

And so, that rule is effective -- well, we're instructed under that bill to adopt -- to adopt the rule, to implement that, by December 1st. So we need to also propose that.

2.0

The rule that is in front of you is basically just a copy and paste of the law.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: If I may ask a question.

MR. VANCE: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: You said that if they're military spouse licensed to work in a manufacturer in another state, then they're allowed to come here and work as a currently licensed manufacturer? Or they are able to be a manufacturer?

MR. VANCE: They're allowed to do the occupation that would require a licensure. And so, it could be anything. I think -- I think it was kind of a unique situation but let's pretend this was a pharmacist, for example, or a nurse, somebody who was licensed as a nurse in Ohio and then they come to Texas, they're only going to be here for three years as their husband's stationed at an air - or at an Army base.

They can work as a nurse without obtaining a license. They don't actually have to apply for a nursing license and pay all the fees and demonstrate all the qualifications so long as they are licensed in another state

- 1 | that has similar requirements. And so, they would call us
- 2 | and say, hey, I'm licensed in Ohio for a conductor, a
- 3 | manufacturer, a lessor, most likely a worker registry. And
- 4 I'm only going to be here for three years, because my
- 5 | husband's in the Army, and I want to work as a bingo person,
- 6 | but I don't want to go through the licensure process.
- 7 And so then we would have to look at Ohio and
- 8 make sure that the activity this person wants to do is
- 9 regulated in a similar manner in Ohio, and that that person's
- 10 currently in good standing in Ohio. And if that was the
- 11 case, then we would give them an authorization. We wouldn't
- 12 necessarily issue a license. We would say, okay, based on
- 13 | all of this, you are authorized to work as this in Texas for
- 14 three years, so long as you and your husband reside in Texas
- 15 pursuant to a base assignment.
- So we, honestly, don't expect to get a whole
- 17 | lot of these. I think, again, I mean, this is really
- 18 oriented more towards medical professionals, engineers,
- 19 accountants, things like that. But it may, it may come in,
- 20 and if it does, we suspect most likely for a worker registry,
- 21 that we would have to do that. Maybe we, you know, have it
- 22 | where we do it on a case by case basis. We're not going to
- 23 | do a survey of every state law related to bingo to see what
- 24 | it is. But if somebody comes in and says, hey, I'm licensed
- 25 in California to conduct bingo.

	16
1	Of course, they would still have to qualify.
2	They'd have to be a charity and it has to have substantially
3	similar requirements as Texas.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
5	MR. VANCE: So it's not just an open door.
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And really, this is geared
7	towards the bingo worker registry and not affecting the
8	licenses or lessor licenses that's
9	MR. VANCE: Those folks would fall under it,
10	but just presumably we expect, I mean, that most of these
11	people are probably going to be workers. You know, I would
12	assume if you were married to a military member or were
13	possibly getting rotated around, you probably wouldn't start
14	a bingo manufacturing company. But it's possible.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So both of them have to be in
16	the state, not one. That correct?
17	MR. VANCE: Yes, yes.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
19	MR. VANCE: And they have to provide an ID
20	showing that they're currently residing in Texas and that
21	their spouse is assigned to a based in Texas.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: OKAY.
23	MR. VANCE: And so, on the first page, those
24	are the rules that are being proposed tomorrow, and hopefully
25	adopted in October to comply with the statutory requirements

that we have that falls in place.

The next page starts with HB914, and that goes pretty much all the way to the end except for the last page. These are proposed proposals. We would like you guys to review these and respond with any comments you have. We hope to propose these on October and then to adopt them in December, because this bill is going to be effective on January 1st, so we want these rules in place by January 1st. That said, in order to get them proposed in October, we have to submit them first to the Governor's Office at least a month in advance. So that's kind of an early September deadline, so y'all have two, three weeks maybe to get any comments back on those, or we can hash it all out here right now and it, you know, we'll certainly take your input on how we're going to implement these.

These are just kind of my staff and what 914 did and then the changes to the rules that had to be done accordingly. So the very top you'll see temporary workers getting extended 30 days and 14 days. Consecutive bingo occasions in one day. That one had to be done because the rules — the new — the new law allows for an accounting of pull—tab sales that are sold during consecutive occasions conducted in one day to be accounted for at the end of the last occasion. Well, the rules consistently reference a consecutive bingo occasions conducted within a 24-hour

- period. So it's a little technicality, but I just wanted to be consistent, so we made a definition of what a consecutive bingo occasion within one day is.
- And then the implementation of the ability to account for all pull-tab sales within one day. The ability to sell pull-tabs up to an hour before an occasion starts.
- 7 MR. MARTIN: Are you going to be able to 8 redeem those?
  - MR. VANCE: Well as soon as -- so you can only redeem a pull-tab during your occasion. And your occasion will be dictated by a license. So if your licence says we play from 6:00 to midnight, that's the occasion. You can sell a pull-tab starting at 5:00, but you can't redeem it until the occasion starts, which would be 6:00. It's based on the term of the license.
  - So if you redeem it before then, if you redeem it at 5:30, the guy wins and he wants his money, you're technically playing bingo illegally, conducting an occasion outside the time of the license.
  - MS. ROGERS: Are you allowed to the dollar winners, can you trade them out?
- MR. VANCE: No.
- MS. ROGERS: Or is that -- that's paying them
- 24 out, correct?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. DUNCAN: Yeah. An instant winner, it's a

- 1 win.
- 2 MR. VANCE: Yeah. You just have to wait till
- 3 6:00.
- 4 MR. DUNCAN: Yeah. I thought this would be
- 5 | more geared towards selling an hour before second session,
- 6 you know, so you, you know, that's, why that's asking for
- 7 trouble.
- MR. VANCE: Well, so yeah, HB94 just allows
- 9 | for the sales up to an hour, didn't say anything about
- 10 redeeming it. And the rest of the rest of the law that's in
- 11 | place says that --
- MR. DUNCAN: No changes.
- MR. VANCE: -- prizes can only be awarded
- 14 during the occasion, and the occasion will be dictated by
- 15 your license.
- 16 MR. DUNCAN: And we'll have plenty of time to
- 17 give our comments and kind of digest this stuff, too.
- MR. VANCE: Sure.
- 19 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah. Because I got plenty
- 20 of questions.
- 21 MR. DUNCAN: Yeah, we all do. We all do.
- 22 | We'll try not to put him on the spot right now.
- 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah. Well he's standing
- 24 there.
- MR. DUNCAN: Yeah but -- yeah, but he doesn't

have any cover, so --

MR. VANCE: Let's see, there's kind of another potential troublemaker here is that 914 amended the law to allow organizations to deposit proceeds within three days, but it didn't -- it didn't amend -- there's a specific section in the law that says that units have to be within two days, and did not amend that section. So units will still have to do it within two days, but an organization that does their own will have three days. And so, yeah, that's just going to be a tricky thing to look out for.

Prize fees on merchandise. Now that -- now that prize fees have been eliminated from cash prizes, we felt the need to define what a cash bingo prize is. The concern was that somebody would be handing out a \$500 gift card and say that they didn't have to pay any prize fees on it, when in fact that's, basically, it's fungible as cash, you know, you can take that thing and do whatever you like with it.

So the definition includes only store-value cards that, you know, that like, this card has \$500, you go on Amazon with it, you can -- you can -- maybe it's a prepaid Visa card and you can use it however you want. We're going to treat that as cash. But a gift card, or a gift certificate, that can only be redeemed for a specific service, you know, if your local carwash wants to donate

here's a free car wash, and you give that out, we're going to treat that as a merchandise prize. Of course, this is only proposed, so if you guys -- I think this is probably one of the more debatable subjects in this -- in this rule proposal, so we would certainly like your input on that one.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Fenoglio is not here, but I'm sure he'll --

MR. VANCE: I'm sure.

MR. DUNCAN: I'm sure he's got plenty of --

MR. VANCE: Some other things now. The

prize fee associated with bingo gift certificate, because a bingo, by definition, a bingo gift certificate can only be exchanged for bingo merchandise or used for the card minders and daubers and things like that, which are defined in the law as merchandise not subject to a prize fee. So, but this

merchandise has now prize fee. You're not responsible for a

then there's a bingo gift certificate. And so, this -- and

is another tricky thing. So there's a gift certificate, and

19 this -- and the way it's drafted neither one would become a

prize fee, but a bingo gift certificate certainly won't have

a prize fee, because a bingo gift certificate can only be

22 redeemed for bingo products.

And the way that the cash bingo prizes rule was written, a gift certificate to a specific, you know, \$50 to Chili's can only be used at Chili's, and so we're not

going to treat that as a -- as a cash prize, either, so there would be no prize fee to pay. A \$50 Walmart gift card, or \$50 prepaid debit card, something that could be used on anything, in any amount, at any, you know, I could go in and spend \$5 today and then \$25 tomorrow and things like that, are being treated as a cash prize under the way that this rule was drafted.

2.5

Again, you guys feel free to propose any interpretation. But we need a definition of what is and is not a cash bingo prize. Because not that they've been bifurcated where cash prizes have a prize fee and merchant prize -- merchandise prizes do not, there's a gray area in there between what is and isn't cash or merchandise. So we need to carve that out one way or another.

There's another additional record-keeping requirement. This is going to be necessary now that organizations are responsible for paying prize fees to their local jurisdictions. Our concern is that an organization may conduct bingo in multiple jurisdictions. So let's say you're a rotating, you know, the example we always use is, like, Texas Access.

Let's say they want to conduct in Houston,
Dallas, El Paso, San Antonio, they have four or five fund
raisers. Well, each one of those instances may have a
different prize system. If they go to El Paso and the city

voted for the prize fee and the county hasn't, we need to know that when they were in El Paso they set aside 50 percent for the city. And then they go to Dallas, and say Dallas hasn't voted at all, well now Texas Access gets to keep all those prize fees. And then they go to San Antonio and the city's voted, but the county hasn't. So it can get very complicated when you're playing in multiple locations. There aren't a whole lot of these we don't think, but the rule is now it's going to require that if you play at more than one location, you need to have a separate documentation specific to each location with the applicable prize fee structure. Because every city and county is going to be a different situation where you are.

On the last page, this is more of an internal deal, but it certainly applies to everyone. There was a modification under HB1342 to the occupations code for licensing. This is — this is the law that we have to follow when somebody applies for a license. Most usually the registry, the worker registry. The most significant change is that the legislature eliminated the consideration of indirectly related offenses.

So we have a list of specific crimes that are directly related to bingo; burglary, theft, assault, things like that, and then there are other crimes, like drug offenses, for example, are indirectly related. And under the

current structure we can treat those as disciplinary
offenses. We can deny an application or put somebody on
probation if they have an indirectly related offense within
Well, this law now gets rid of that.

So now the only things we're going to consider are directly related offenses which are specified in our guidelines. And we'll have to revisit that, because it's also promulgated some new factors for us to consider what is and isn't directly related, and also then when we find a directly-related offense, there's now there's -- even if we deny somebody because your crime's directly related, they're allowed to provide letters of mitigation, letters of recommendation, compliance with their probation term, things like that. And so now there's new factors for us to consider even in those situations.

And that, the HB1342 section is, again, it's just a copy and paste of the law. Like I haven't finagled anything or -- I've just put it in there.

But 914, a lot of those were just kind of -kind of my take, going back and forth with Michael and Bob
and how to handle these, but we certainly appreciate your
input. We just need it fairly quickly, because we're on a -on a pretty short timeline with having to notify the
Governor's Office at least a month in advance.

So I'm free to answer any questions now or

```
whenever, in the next couple weeks, you guys can talk amongst
 1
    yourselves and send a collaborative response, or however you
 2
    want to do it. Yes ma'am.
 3
                    MS. GREEN: Going back to the first thing. I
 4
 5
    mean, to the state. So somebody from Oklahoma. You said,
    you know, the manufacturer of bingo. And then you said
 6
 7
    commercial licensing. So somebody in Oklahoma that has a
    commercial license for bingo can move here with their
 8
 9
    military spouse and open a commercial hall?
10
                    MR. VANCE: For three years.
11
                    MS. ROGERS: For three years.
12
                   MS. GREEN: For what?
13
                   MR. DUNCAN: For only three years.
14
                    MR. VANCE: It's a temporary authorization.
15
                    MR. DUNCAN: The spouse has to be transferred
16
    to a base here. They just can't move here.
17
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah.
18
                    MR. DUNCAN: And Oklahoma has to regulate
19
    commercial lessors like they do here.
20
                    MR. VANCE: Yes -- law that --
21
                    MR. DUNCAN: The law's got to pretty much be -
22
    - pretty much a match for -- to be valid here.
23
                    MR. VANCE: Correct.
24
                    MS. ROGERS: Pretty much. Who decides pretty
25
    much?
```

```
MR. VANCE: We do. We do. And they wouldn't
 1
 2
    have to get a license. They wouldn't have to pay an
 3
    application fee --
                    MR. DUNCAN: Back when -- back when --
 5
                    MS. GREEN: They could just open up and say
    three years.
 6
 7
                    MR. DUNCAN:
                                Pretty much.
                    MR. FARRELL: Well. I think -- I think it's -
 8
 9
    - you're -- the speed of which you are talking about them
10
    setting it up is going to be a little slower than the day
11
    after they move they set up the bingo hall. They have to --
12
    they're going to have to make an application, demonstrate
13
    where they're coming from, show that they're currently Texas
14
    resident, and then we'll be looking at whether the laws that
15
    -- where they're coming from are substantially similar to
16
    ours. If they're not substantially similar to ours, then we
17
    would tell them no, they can't, they have to get licensed
18
    here. If they are substantially similar, we respond to them
19
    saying they are, and then they could start the -- whatever
20
    they were going to do.
21
                    MR. VANCE:
                                Then they'd be authorized to do
22
        And then -- and then they're still subject to Texas laws
23
    and rules. Even though they don't have a license, they have
24
    this authorization. I mean, the difference is pretty minimal
```

but they -- we would still have jurisdiction over them and

25

- 1 | they could still support that.
- MR. FARRELL: Yeah. It's not like -- it's not
- 3 | like they just come in and carve out a piece of property they
- 4 can do what they want. They have to still fulfill the laws.
- 5 They -- so if they run a bingo hall anybody that they've got
- 6 in the bingo hall has got to be licensed organizations. They
- 7 have to do that.
- If they're a manufacturer for some reason,
- 9 | that'd be pretty interesting for them to do that. A
- 10 distributor. I can see a distributor walking in saying that
- 11 Oklahoma's got substantially the same, they want to be a
- 12 distributor. Again, they'd have to follow all our laws. You
- 13 know, they'd have to find new market.
- MR. DUNCAN: They wouldn't be able to sell the
- 15 Oklahoma paper. They'd have to have a paper with a Texas
- 16 | seal on it.
- 17 MS. GREEN: No. I understand that. They'd
- 18 | have to follow the law. I'm just saying if somebody from
- 19 Oklahoma says, I got me a license and I'm coming to Texas.
- 20 So, you know.
- 21 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, keep in mind, Melodye,
- 22 | keep in mind that they would have to have transfer papers
- 23 from their base to the base in Texas.
- Ms. Green: No, I understand. Yeah.
- 25 CHAIRMAN SMITH: I don't see -- I see the

- 1 likelihood of that very slim. I think this is probably going
- 2 to pertain more to just the worker registry.
- MS. GREEN: No. I agree. I didn't think -- I
- 4 thought that's what it was, too, until you said, lessor OR
- 5 manufacturer.
- 6 MR. VANCE: It is possible.
- 7 MS. ROGERS: Do they have to maintain where
- 8 | they come from, that license?
- 9 MR. VANCE: They would -- yeah. They'd have
- 10 to be in good standing and currently licensed in good
- 11 standing in the state.
- MS. ROGERS: So if they did come from Oklahoma
- 13 they could still keep their distributorship there.
- MR. VANCE: Right.
- MS. ROGERS: Plus open one here.
- MR. VANCE: Right.
- 17 MR. BOURGOYNE: And wouldn't that
- 18 | disqualifying conditions still apply?
- MR. VANCE: Yes. Yeah. So if, you know, if
- 20 the -- yeah.
- 21 MR. FARRELL: There's some background work
- 22 | that we have to do to make sure that their application's
- 23 | complete, that they don't have any criminal records, that
- 24 | they -- that they're substantially the same.
- MR. VANCE: Correct.

```
MR. FARRELL: So I think what Tyler's saying
 1
 2
    is, and what we're prognosticating or predicting is, it's
    really going to be just workers. It's going to be somebody
 3
    who worked in the bingo hall in Oklahoma or in, you know,
 5
    Dallas Air Force Base comes here for to Fort Bliss and they
    want to work in the bingo hall there. And they were licensed
 6
 7
    and everything else. But they still going to have to send in
    this piece of paper that says, this is substantially the same
 8
 9
    and they've done their training and that kind of stuff.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    They still have to go through
11
    the approval process, right?
12
                    MR. FARRELL: It's going to go through the
13
    approval process.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
15
                    MR. FARRELL: It's not -- it's not like a
16
    driver's license that once you cross the border you can drive
17
    and rent any car.
18
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                     Sure.
19
                    MR. FARRELL: We're going to -- we're going to
20
    make sure that they're --
21
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do the due diligence.
22
                    MR. FARRELL: We're going to do some due
23
    diligence, thank you.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think we've got a comment
2.5
    from --
```

```
MR. DUNCAN: I have one question. I got one
 1
    more question, sir. On the reconciliation at the end of the
 2
    night, which is great, if you're a unit do we still have to
 3
    have back-to-back charities? I mean, does the charity have
 5
    to be the same first and second session, or can that be --
                    MR. VANCE: Well, I can --
 6
 7
                    MR. DUNCAN: I mean, if it's all one money
    already.
 8
 9
                    MR. VANCE: Right. I think -- I think the unit
10
    accounting rules that already address a unit can sell pull-
11
    tabs from several organizations and account for it at the end
12
    of the final occasion. But it's still going to be within --
13
    the deposit's still within two days.
14
                    MR. DUNCAN: Right. I just want to make sure
15
    we don't have to bounce our charities all around in the unit
16
    that --
17
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah. Well I think -- I'm not
18
    sure if they're -- I feel like there's a current rule in
19
    place that allows a unit to already do that. But if not, the
20
    law -- the law only says that if an organization that
21
    conducts consecutive bingo occasions during one day, and that
22
    to me means that without any intervening. So you would have
23
    to have same charity --
24
                    MR. DUNCAN: Charity A first, Charity A
25
```

second?

```
MR. VANCE: Right, right.
 1
 2
                    MR. DUNCAN: Even if it's unit.
 3
                    MR. VANCE: You couldn't do ABA, right, even
    if it was a unit. It was the way that the law sounds.
 5
    that instance, again, I'm not sure off the top of my head,
    but I feel like there's a -- there's a specific section of
 6
 7
    the unit of accounting that already allows them to do that,
    to account for --
 9
                    MR. DUNCAN: Well that -- well they can't --
10
    they have to account by session now. Now we're moving into a
11
    new world of just --
12
                    MR. VANCE: Account by session, yeah. Yeah.
    Then I -- then I would think that that would not -- you would
13
14
    not be able to account for same sales from multiple
15
    organizations.
16
                    MR. DUNCAN: In the way the law's written.
17
                    MR. VANCE: Yeah.
                                       The way the law's written
18
    is that in order --
19
                    MR. DUNCAN: Because the unit has it's own
20
    license, right.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: We have a member of the
21
22
    public that would like to comment on this, Steve Bresnan.
23
                    MR. BRESNAN:
                                  Yeah. And just a couple of -- I
24
    just want to ask about the ones that you've got to hurry up
```

on.

```
MR. VANCE: Sure.
 1
 2
                    MR. BRESNAN: On the individual and in the
 3
    movement between states by military spouses.
 4
                    MR. VANCE: Right.
 5
                    MR. BRESNAN:
                                  If the entity in Oklahoma is a -
    - is a legal entity other than the individual, is that person
 6
 7
    going to be treated as a military spouse under the law if
    they come here when it's an LLC or a corporation in Oklahoma
 8
    that owns the license in Oklahoma?
 9
10
                    MR. VANCE: I mean, the law says military
11
    spouse, not person where it's like a, you know, an entity can
12
    be treated as a person.
                             It's defined as a person.
                                                         So --
13
                    MR. BRESNAN: Right. Just something to think
14
    that out.
15
                               Presumably, yeah, not. Because, I
                    MR. VANCE:
16
    mean, and a legal entity is not going to be a spouse. So I
17
    think --
18
                    MR. BRESNAN: Yes, sir. That's why it's more
19
    suited to nurses and people that can only be licensed as an -
20
21
                    MR. VANCE: So I think -- individuals,
22
    correct. Correct.
23
                    MR. BRESNAN;
                                  Like, I quess, my second
24
    question is on the -- on the going to six-hour sessions.
25
```

MR. VANCE: Yeah.

```
MR. BRESNAN: If somebody has a, well, nobody
 1
    has a license that has a time of six hours on it now.
 2
                    MR. VANCE: They could, right?
 3
                    MR. FARRELL:
                                  They could.
 4
 5
                    MR. VANCE:
                               Yeah.
                    MR. FARRELL: I'm not sure --
 6
 7
                    MR. VANCE: If anybody's filed --
                    MR. FARRELL: We're treating on a case-by-case
 8
 9
    basis then when they --
10
                    MR. BRESNAN:
                                 Is that a current law?
11
                    MR. FARRELL: It started on the 16th of June.
12
                    MR. VANCE: It was effective in June.
13
                    MR. BRESNAN: Oh, well. Let me -- pretend
14
    it's June 15th. Okay. Nobody had a license time that
15
    exceeded four hours.
16
                    MR. FARRELL: That's correct. That's correct.
17
                    MR. BRESNAN:
                                  So if people want to go to six
18
    hours, do they need to amend the -- file a license amendment?
19
                    MR. FARRELL: Yes. That's what -- that's what
20
    I was going to get -- say, Steve, is that if they want to go
21
    to six hours they'd file an amendment to go to the six hours.
22
    And whatever the license they want after June 16th, if they
23
    want to do six hours, they've got to say it on their license
24
    application how long. It's not just an automatic four to
25
    six.
```

```
MR. BRESNAN: Understood. And then just --
 1
 2
    while I've got the floor I just want to apologize to
    everybody for not catching the two-day on deal on the damn
 3
    units. And I had to go through that again next time to go to
 5
    three days on the units. This is not something I'm looking
    forward to. So, I'm sorry.
 6
 7
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: It's okay.
                    MR. VANCE: All right. Anything else for me,
 8
 9
    anybody?
10
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    Thank you. We appreciate
11
    that very much. We'll be looking forward to giving you our
12
     (indiscernible).
13
                    MR. VANCE: Absolutely.
14
                    MR. FARRELL: I do want to add to what Tyler
15
    was saying.
                 If you have comments on the rules, please send
16
    them through me. I'll be happy to compile together and give
17
    them to Tyler and we can talk about them there.
18
                    I want -- I should be your point of contact
19
    for these kind of things to do with that, and Tyler may call
20
    you back, but I'm just -- let's get them through my office so
    we don't miss --
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: We should do another informal
23
    meeting on that.
24
                    MR. FARRELL: And, yes. I was going to also
```

say, nothing precludes the BAC from meeting between now and

25

- 35 whenever, however you decide your meeting is, to discuss this 1 or set up a committee to talk about the rules or have me come 2 down to San Antonio or Texarkana. You know, come and sit --3 come and sit and talk. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Well, we should set up 5 another hearing. Right? 6 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let me ask you this, what is the -- if we do need to have another meeting, what is the, or 8 9 is there, a requirement on timeframe of notice about the BAC 10 meeting? 11 MR. VANCE: Ten days is what we try to aim
- 12 for. That's what the rule requires.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
- 14 MR. VANCE: I think, you know, technically, by 15 law, it's not required. But our rules say that we notify --16 provide an open meetings notice 10 days in advance.
- 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
- 18 MR. DUNCAN: Because October's going to be 19 here quick.
  - MS. ROGERS: In reference to what Mr. Bresnan said, Not that I want the lottery to write any more rules, can't y'all write a rule that states that all deposits will be treated the same, a unit or a county?
- 24 MR. FARRELL: Nope.

21

22

23

25 MS. ROGERS: I know, but if we don't have to

```
debate that, I'm just throwing it out there. Please.
 1
 2
                    MR. DUNCAN:
                                Nice try.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right? All right, so we've
    got the Lottery Commission Meeting tomorrow will be --
 4
 5
    they're going to be putting out the rule changes for 882 and
    for HB1200. If there's no, I guess, at the same time I guess
 6
 7
    if there's no opposition or issues with those two, if we want
    to go ahead and offer our support for that, we can. If not,
 8
 9
    we can do it here in the comment period. That's -- I'm going
10
    to leave that up to the board to decide that. So if you got
11
    thoughts or comments on that.
12
                    MS. ROGERS: I don't have any thoughts with
13
    the way they are.
14
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, not really.
15
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Anyone else? Public members
16
    have a comment?
17
                    MR. BRESNEN: Are you just talking about the
18
    two?
19
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Talking about HB882 and
20
    HB1200 only.
                    MR. BRESNEN: SB1200?
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: SB -- yeah, 1200, yeah.
23
    Those two only. So we want to offer our support for those
24
    two tomorrow at the Lottery meeting?
```

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I'll move.

25

```
conductor lessor and the charity lessor. We have nobody
 1
    who's charity and a lessor that applied. So we -- my
 2
    recommendation to the commission tomorrow would be that they
 3
    -- we resolicit, specifically for a charity lessor. I think
 5
    we had -- were working charities, but they weren't lessors.
    And I think we want a charity lessor on the committee as a --
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Did we -- did we just, all
    the old applications we had for that, or did we have any old
 8
 9
    applications, or do you have a clean slate on that?
10
                    MR. FARRELL:
                                 We have a clean slate for the
11
    new ones, because it's been a year. But everybody, again,
12
    everybody who's in our -- identified in our system with
13
    directors and chairpersons over charities, we sent them --
14
    they should have received an email with the application. We
1.5
    posted it on our website. We put it on Facebook with our 100
16
    people following us. The -- so we did -- we did -- we did
17
    our due diligence to get the word or to folks.
18
                    INDISCERNIBLE: So then there's nothing to do.
19
                    MR. FARRELL: No.
                                       They have --
20
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, do you know how many
21
    old applications we have?
22
                    MR. FARRELL: I do not know that off the top
23
    of my head, no. We could --
24
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think maybe if we do what
25
    you're recommending and ask the commissioners to reopen that,
```

- 1 | I think -- I think there may have been some people that
- 2 | thought their application was current, so they didn't feel
- 3 | the need to reapply. But I think if -- I think we'll
- 4 probably get a lot more applications in
- 5 MR. FARRELL: Right. Well we'll take a look
- 6 and see how many we had. I don't remember there being a
- 7 | large number of charity lessors.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: If memory serves me correctly
- 9 I think there was, like, four or five maybe, tops.
- 10 MR. FARRELL: We'll take a look and see.
- 11 We'll specifically make sure that in the next go around that
- 12 | they're on the list. They should be on the list if they're a
- 13 current charity lessor. Just make sure that they need to re-
- 14 apply.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Absolutely.
- 16 MR. DUNCAN: We can't reclassify that as a
- 17 | charity rep, either, can we?
- 18 MR. FARRELL: No. Now for the public member,
- 19 the general public member, we had two applicants for it. One
- 20 | was part of bingo, was a chairperson at bingo, so that didn't
- 21 | qualify for it. The other one is -- used to be involved in
- 22 | bingo. They were -- Knights of Columbus had a license, the
- 23 | license has been turned in, they're not playing bingo
- 24 anymore. He was just -- he was a -- I think, he was a
- 25 Director on there. But he's not a Director anymore, so he's

```
not attached to any bingo charity or bingo hall, and name's
 1
    Roy Alexander (ph), and he's from Weston and I -- he's the
 2
    one that we're going to recommend to the commission tomorrow.
 3
    I think you're lucky because he's got knowledge of bingo,
 4
 5
    they've been playing bingo so you don't have to teach him a
    lot, or don't have to educate him on some things. But I
 6
    think, also, he's local, which makes it good, too. I think
 7
    he fits the bill.
 8
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: And it's my understanding
10
    that it was a Knights of Columbus that played for theirselves
11
    at their own location. Is that correct?
12
                    MR. FARRELL: I believe so.
13
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. So they weren't
14
    playing in a commercial hall type thing.
                    MR. FARRELL: I'd have to double check.
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
17
                    MR. FARRELL: But they don't have a license
18
    now, so it makes -- it fulfills kind of what Will Martin was
19
    saying before that they wanted somebody who kind of knew
20
    bingo, but wasn't involved at the charity level.
21
                    MS. GREEN: What was his name?
22
                    MR. FARRELL: Roy Alexander.
23
                    MS. GREEN: Roy Alexander. Roy Alexander.
24
              UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: What was his last one?
25
                    MS. GREEN: Alexander.
```

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All in favor.

(Chorus of "ayes") 1 2 MR. FARRELL: So we're recommending Mr. 3 Alexander. MS. ROGERS: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: We will recommend Mr. Alexander. 6 7 MR. FARRELL: Okay. And just to clarify, or summarize, we're going to reopen for the charity lessor and 8 9 we're going to make sure we notify them that they need to 10 reapply, anybody who had applied before, make sure that's in 11 the notification. And we make sure those people who have 12 applied they should be getting notification. 13 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any public comment on this 14 right now? No other. All right, moving on. 15 AGENDA ITEM 11 16 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Agenda 11. Melody. 17 MS. GREEN: Well, you know, we have asked for temporary's on demand earlier. You know, and I guess the 18 19 beginning of the deal. I don't know where we stand on 20 software that would allow that to happen, since we don't have 21 to pay it now. And this -- the one time where it was our 22 hall closed the Sherif setting were down there across the 23 way, and they had a huge number of people that wanted to play 24 another session, but they couldn't, because they didn't --

you don't count on that ahead of time.

And one thing I did want to add to this, which I'm sure was going to throw Michael, is that if -- it used to be if you did not use your temporary you did not lose it.

But then they started with, you know, you had to pay \$25 you -- and the Lottery Commission said they didn't have refund authority. They didn't have refund authority and you don't need to pay \$25 anymore.

We had a -- Southwest Airlines always asked us to do a bingo session during their big party. We said yes. We applied for a temporary and it was at Lone Star Park and it was in April when that monsoon went through. Well, Southwest Airlines canceled their party, you know, we had applied for the temporary, we did not use the temporary, and now we lost it. So I don't feel like the charity should lose their, I mean, their theirs. You don't lose it -- all you had to do before was say, we did not use our temporary. And you got it back. So asking, you know, will you get that back? And I understand the reason we couldn't before, because no refund and those accounts which all of our money, who knows where that went. So, you know, we had our money on account. All that's gone now. That problem's not there.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Michael, is there a rule or administrative rule that precludes people from, like, that incidence, maybe? I don't know. I'm at a loss on this one, because I've never had that happen, thank God.

MR. FARRELL: What I'll do, is I'll take this 1 2 back. I'll take this back, do some research on it, and I'll 3 get it out to you via email the way the research is. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Right. 5 MS. GREEN: Yeah. Because I know, you know, we used to be able to do it, like I said, then it became a 6 7 problem when you paid for it, and we couldn't get our money back and all that. And I know Alfonzo (ph) changed it to 8 9 where the -- if you, you know, used to -- nobody wanted to 10 lose it. If you don't use it, you lose it. 11 So and these are, you know, valuable to the 12 charities. You only get so many. 13 MS. ROGERS: That happens quite often if you 14 apply for your temporaries in advance. 1.5 MS. GREEN: Yes, right. 16 MS. ROGERS: Let's say a month in advance. 17 MS. GREEN: Exactly. 18 MS. ROGERS: Because then you're having them 19 on Saturday nights. 20 MS. GREEN: Right. 21 MS. ROGERS: And then you find out, you know, 22 you run two of them and Saturday nights aren't really doing 23 that well. But you lose those two last Saturday nights, 24 because maybe you're going to move it to Friday. I know in

some instances you can change the date, the licensing

2.5

- 1 examiners will let you change the date, like, if you do the
- 2 | wrong thing or something that major. But that would assist a
- 3 lot if they could -- if maybe the bingo chairperson could
- 4 | submit a letter.
- 5 MS. GREEN: Right.
- MS. ROGERS: I think you're not going to play
- 7 those.
- 8 MS. GREEN: But the buildup -- people -- play.
- 9 | I mean, either --
- MS. ROGERS: Great. Oh, yeah.
- MS. GREEN: I mean, you're -- all the
- 12 licensing people just bend over backwards for us. Anything
- 13 they can do for us legally within their realm they do for us.
- MS. ROGERS: Right.
- 15 MS. GREEN: So and, you know, the temporaries
- on demand, that's one part -- so that has to -- I guess it's
- 17 | just a software situation. Or maybe, you know, and the --
- 18 | getting our -- I know it was a rule change. It's not a
- 19 legislative -- I spoke to Alice years ago I said -- and all I
- 20 did was say, we didn't use it. Okay, you get it back. So --
- 21 and then Alfonzo changed it.
- 22 MS. ROGERS: I can't think of the reasoning
- 23 | why that move.
- MS. GREEN: He just mean. He just mean.
- 25 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. There any public

```
comment from temporaries on demand or getting a temporary
back after it has been not played? Steve Bresnan?

MR. BRESNAN: Is that -- is that going to be --
```

4 - with the on demand, is that -- is that doable?

5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well I think Michael said 6 he's going to do some research and --

7 MR. FARRELL: I can do some research on that.

MR. BRESNAN: Oh, on the on demand, too?

MR. FARRELL: On the demand, too. Yeah.

MR. BRESNAN: Oh, okay.

MR. FARRELL: I need to do some research on

that.

8

9

10

11

12

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BRESNAN: Okay. I understand.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Could be as simple as a fax.

MS. GREEN: It is. And we try to get ours in a week ahead of time. That's what they told us. You know,

17 they need at least a week.

MR. DUNCAN: We have a week to 10 days.

MS. GREEN: A week to 10 days, but sometimes you don't know. Like I said, special circumstances and you walk in you have a really bad snow day, and the next day you got, you know, double your crowd there and you can make more money for the charities. So you just don't know all that

24 ahead of time.

25 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure.

## 47 AGENDA ITEM 12 1 2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. If no other 3 comment on that, we can move on to number 12. Any old business. No? All right. Any old business from the public? 5 MR. KELLER: Hey. Hello, my name's Chris Keller and I just, you know, we formed this work group to see 6 7 about putting more cards on the computer. You know, be sure that that gets on the agenda the next go round if that's 8 9 possible. 10 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. MR. KELLER: To -- can we like vote on it? 11 you got to vote on it yet or something? Or --? 12 13 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, depends on what 14 information you bring. 15 MR. KELLER: What do I need to bring to get 16 votes? Bring information on that? 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: I don't know yet. What 18 information do you have? I'll let you -- I think what, in 19 general, the board likes to do is look at all the information 2.0 and decide if the board wants to endorse. I mean, we don't 21 technically have any power to make a change. We have the 22 power to recommend change. If the board votes to recommend 23 change then I think staff takes that into consideration. You

they also take that into consideration as well. But I think

know, if we don't vote, or vote not to recommend it, then

24

2.5

that that's the perfect platform, this is a perfect platform 1 2 to hear what you have to say and look at all the information 3 that you have. My recommendation is do your due diligence and bring us some really good data to really get info. 4 5 MS. ROGERS: The work group that I have down here was Chris Keller, and Neil Toming (ph), Richard Bungling 6 7 (ph), Danny Moore (ph) and Will. 8 MR. KELLER: Yes. 9 MS. ROGERS: Do you want to -- propose to keep 10 that same work group and put their stuff together and bring 11 it back? 12 MR. KELLER: Absolutely. 13 MS. ROGERS: So those are the individuals that 14 you would contact and put together. 1.5 MR. KELLER: Who was the chair of that group? 16 MS. ROGERS: Chris. 17 MR. KELLER: Me Chris? Yeah, okay. 18 MS. ROGERS: Now we had discussion, just one -19 - sorry. We had discussion on public members being the 20 chair, versus having one of the BAC be the chair. 21 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah, that's right. 22 MS. ROGERS: Don't recall what that was. 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think what was said, was

MS. ROGERS: I'd have to check the minutes.

that the, if I remember correctly --

24

```
CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think that -- I think we
 1
 2
    felt like a BAC member should present it to the BAC.
 3
                    MS. ROGERS: Okay. But he can still be in
    charge of contacting everyone --
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Absolutely. Absolutely.
                    MS. ROGERS: Getting all the information
 6
 7
    together.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Absolutely.
 8
 9
                    MS. ROGERS:
                                Okav.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: But let the BAC member
10
11
    propose it.
12
                    MS. ROGERS: So one of your BAC members, which
13
    you would have Tommy, Will, Emile.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Got three good guys there.
1.5
                    MS. ROGERS: Yeah.
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Chris, give us a
16
17
    thumbnail of what you want so everybody in the room say to --
18
                    MR. KELLER: To be able to put 132 cards on
19
    one machine in 66-card increments. In other words, we sell
20
    our computer for $6. Somebody comes in and says, give me two
21
    computers, 66, 66.
22
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: You're not proposing that we
23
    put the prices in on this proposal, are you? You're just
24
    proposing to add cards.
25
                                         Proposing to add the
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
```

```
cards, you can charge whatever you want to charge.
 1
 2
                                 I can tell you this much, the
                    MR. KELLER:
 3
    people I've contacted in the state with the exception of two,
    maybe three now, are heavily in favor of this. The only
 4
 5
    negative thing that I've heard in Bexar County is that
    there's a thought that it could drive pricing down. And,
 6
    Kim, I don't know how you can ever think it might drive
 7
    pricing down or anybody. You're down to a penny. I mean,
 8
 9
    what do you -- what do you want to do?
                                I'm waiting for half a penny.
10
                    MS. ROGERS:
11
    I'm waiting. Why -- I had a question, though. I had a
12
    question to get back on that. Why would you want to, if you
13
    did propose this and we accepted it and took it to the
14
    commissioners, why would you want to propose that it has to
15
    be in 66 increments. You would delete that vague, like,
16
    okay, so let's say I have one computer that has 33 parts, I
17
    can do it through in 33 increments, or --
18
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
                                         Sure.
19
                    MS. ROGERS: Okay. So just I -- that's just a
```

MR. KELLER: I do 66 cards. And so, it's not one machine with 132 cards, it's one machine with two 66-card packs in it. There has to be a charge for your second button punch.

MS. ROGERS: Right.

20

21

22

23

24

25

thought.

```
CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay, so what -- and I'm sure
 1
 2
    you'll work this out, but I think what you're saying, is that
    you're currently charging $4 for a 66-card computer, that if
 3
    you want to put 132 in there, you'd have to charge $8. Is
 5
    that what I'm hearing?
                    MR. KELLER: That's what you're hearing.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    Okay.
                    MS. ROGERS: So it wouldn't be a price fix, it
 8
 9
    wouldn't be telling them what they have to charge, it would
10
    be -- just be saying that for whatever you charge for the
11
    first 66-pack you must charge for the second 66-pack.
12
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    Is that correct?
                    MR. KELLER: That's correct.
13
14
                    MS. ROGERS: Kind of -- right?
1.5
                    MR. DUNCAN: So if you charge a penny for 66
16
    it's just another penny, right?
17
                    MR. KELLER: That's correct.
18
                    MS. ROGERS: Maybe you can make it on two
19
    cents on your computer, I would cheat. I understand.
20
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: From a manufacturer's
21
    standpoint -- from a manufacturer's standpoint, there's
22
    anybody here that maybe could answer that.
23
                    MR. DUNCAN:
                                 I would like to ask a question of
24
    the -- can we ask a question of the public?
25
                                     Absolutely we can.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                                         I think
```

you know where I'm going with that.

MR. DUNCAN: Yeah, Floyd. I'd like to ask you

-- Floyd Olive is with Video King. You'd have to go back to

the lab with that, correct, it'd be cost involved with a --

MR. OLIVE: Well, yeah. I mean, first there would be the changing the code. Once that code is changed to do whatever we wanted it to do as far as the number of cards, then we'd go to a testing lab and we'd be in the testing lab probably GLI, going to say probably a month just at GLI.

After that certification is received, then it would be sent to the Lottery Commission, which could take up to a month as well. Changing the code, maybe a month, maybe less, I'm not sure. So probably three months from the time that change is documented until it would probably make it out in the (indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN SMITH: What is the cost involved with that?

MR. OLIVE: I'd have to find out man hours and all of that. I know -- I think GLI is somewhere around 10,000 per submissions. There's nothing to the lottery commission, but somewhere around that range. And then whatever it comes to time it takes to make the actual changes.

MR. DUNCAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Would this require a

```
manufacturer to make the change, or would it be optional?
 1
 2
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: No. It would be optional,
 3
    wouldn't it?
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah.
 5
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: How would you require it.
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: I'm saying you can't, right?
 6
 7
    And go back to the last.
                    MR. DUNCAN: Well, Floyd, are there states now
 8
    that allow --
 9
10
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: I mean, the rule would just be
11
    permissive, the device can do cents per packs versus one
12
           That's what the rule would say. Right? So you have
13
    to require a manufacturer to do this.
14
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah, I mean they're
1.5
    saying allow all the different -- so they're not as many as
    66, they're more than 66.
16
17
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Right.
18
                    MR. DUNCAN: We got two talking.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: If I may ask this question,
20
    what's the end-game here. What are you trying -- what
21
    problem are you trying to solve by doing this? Because you
22
    can buy two different computers now and still have 132 cards.
23
    What's your end-game? What are you trying to solve?
                    MR. KELLER: Well, it would allow charities to
24
25
    possibly cut their inventory down a little bit, but in my
```

- 1 | case in particular, whenever we do a special, like a raffle,
- 2 | well, all over in the 150, 200 extra computers. I got to pay
- 3 | the freight on that and it's expensive. And it would maybe
- 4 | solve that where I wouldn't have to bring in extra computers.
- 5 But bottom line is I think, I'm real positive, that it would
- 6 save the charity's money by possibly reducing their
- 7 inventory.
- Now in my case, I don't know that I would
- 9 reduce it a lot, but I might take out 25 units.
- 10 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
- MR. KELLER: And if I saw, hey, I can take out
- 12 another 10, I might do that, too. To me, I don't see the --
- I don't see any benefit in not doing a second. I'm open for
- 14 -- to hear everybody's opinion on it.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure. I think if -- I think
- 16 | if you would impose some data that goes along with what
- 17 | you're saying, that would probably be very convincing.
- MR. DUNCAN: Would the --
- MR. KELLER: What would data? What do you
- 20 mean? I don't --
- MR. MARTIN: Well, like, I have a question.
- 22 | Will Martin. For Video King, with the cost of reprogramming
- 23 | those computers, would that be passed on to the individual
- 24 | hall, or would that be passed on to the industry, the whole
- 25 industry?

```
MS. OLIVE: Well it, I mean, that particular
 1
 2
    change is probably earmarked straight for Texas.
                                                       I can't
    really say what that would do, but I know that our price
 3
    points are at, for the last -- and I've only been doing this
 5
    for about eight years now sales wise, our profits have
    steadily been going down. If all of a sudden we weren't
 6
    utilizing as many units in Texas, it might cause the instant
 7
    price to go up a little. I can't say that with any
 8
 9
    certainty, but I mean, everybody's got to survive. I know
10
    we're all trying to survive.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Floyd, let me, if I may,
12
    please, sir.
13
                    MR. OLIVE:
                                Yes.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I know you talked about the
15
    cost involved of submitting it to GLI and to -- or a third
16
    party, I quess, and then submitting it to lottery and then --
17
    what kind of timeframe and what kind of issues or man hours
18
    would it create to have to reprogram? I think most of the
19
    computers you would have to go on site to update them.
                                                             Is
2.0
    that -- is that correct?
21
                    MR. OLIVE: Yeah.
                                       I mean, there's
22
     configuration files that are coded so that they cannot be
23
    changed by me or anybody in the public.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    Right.
25
                                Those files would have to be
                    MR. OLIVE:
```

- 1 | changed. I don't know what else it would entail. But that's
- 2 | done through our R&D department in Winnipeg, so there's going
- 3 to be some man hours making those changes. And then, of
- 4 | course, we have to submit it to an independent gaming lab.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
- MR. OLIVE: And then, of course, to the
- 7 lottery commission after that.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And what I'm talking about is
- 9 | going -- would you have to go to each location to update
- 10 | those files?
- MR. OLIVE: Most likely, yes. Depending on
- 12 what kind of a certification we seek. If it's a small enough
- amendment to the existing code, we might could get by with
- 14 less.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
- 16 MR. OLIVE: And maybe just a few files that we
- 17 | could even dial in and update those files. Course, some of
- 18 | that would be working with the Lottery Commission, too, to
- 19 verify those files are still correct and all of that. But
- 20 | it's possible it could be done remotely.
- 21 MR. FARRELL: And, Tres, if I can add, it'll
- 22 require a rule change.
- 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right.
- MR. FARRELL: Which means that you have to get
- 25 | the proposed -- new proposed rule, have to go before to that

- 1 60 to 120 days before you can start programing computers. I
  2 think that's a fair estimate.
- MS. ROGERS: And, Chris, as far as data goes,

  Emile, I think I asked you before, give me some information

  on what other states do that we were looking. And I don't

  remember if this was what we were talking about that time.

MR. BOURGOYNE: It's one of the things.

7

8

9

10

11

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. ROGERS: It's one of the things. They have data on other states. Like, find another state that maybe has similar cards. Do they make more money than we do? Are there computer -- did it help their computer sales?

That's what Tres is talking about. Come back with something

That's what Tres is talking about. Come back with something like that to show us, like, it'll help. Other than us just all --

MR. BOURGOYNE: I said give us the states that have limitations on the number of cards per device and states that didn't.

MS. ROGERS: Okay.

MR. BOURGOYNE: And I don't know if I sent you information about their bingo receipts as a result of that.

MS. ROGERS: And I can forward that to you, Chris. I can send that email to you, which will give you a base of maybe starting to gather some information.

MR. KELLER: Well I kind of feel like maybe the water's getting muddied again on this issue. I don't see

```
why it has to be. I just don't -- but to me it's a simple
 1
 2
    thing. I mean, to just change it from 66 to 132 with 66
 3
    cards.
                    MS. ROGERS: Well, nothings easy as -- if we
 4
 5
    have to change a rule. And if Michael says that it involves
    rule change, it's going to take research for them to say,
 6
 7
    okay, this is why we're changing that rule.
                                                 I mean --
                    MR. KELLER: Yeah, it's a rule change, but
 8
 9
    it's a doable rule change. And I just feel like it would be
    to the benefit of all the -- all the charities playing bingo.
10
11
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think if you can -- I think
12
    if you can prove that, I think everybody will like it if --
13
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: I think some of that data I
14
    sent to her will indicate that what you're asking is not
15
    unreasonable compared to other jurisdictions.
16
                    MS. ROGERS: Right.
17
                   MR. BOURGOYNE: If I recall.
18
                   MS. ROGERS: Yeah.
19
                   MR. KELLER: All right.
20
                    MS. ROGERS: And I'll be back in the office
21
    tomorrow and I can send that to you.
22
                    MR. KELLER: I'll do my best on that.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    Okay.
24
                    MR. KELLER: In the meantime, am I wasting my
25
    time by contacting other operators in the state to get a yes
```

- or no from them on their opinion, or does their opinion matter?
- 3 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I would think 4 everybody's opinion matters.
- MS. ROGERS: The more numbers that you can
  bring to say people want this. I mean, Michael's very fair,
  to look at what the industry wants. So if it's doable.
- MR. KELLER: Okay. So if an operator in

  Lubbock, Mr. Brown, says I'd be in favor of that, then that

  would be a point on my side saying Mr. Brown's in favor of

  that?
- MR. BOURGOYNE: The more Mr. Browns you can bring the better, basically.
- MS. ROGERS: That's right. It's true.
- MR. KELLER: Okay. I can do that I think. I say the people I've talked to with the exception of two,
  maybe three now. I don't know if Kim's still in favor of it
- or not, but are way in favor of it.
- MS. ROGERS: I'm way in favor of seeing some
  more research to see if it will help the industry. Because
  we all know that computers have gone down and paper sales, so
  if it'll help that.
- MR. KELLER: Off the subject here, but who are the five halls in Baylor County that didn't lose money?
- MS. ROGERS: It's all on the BAC. It's three

```
or five? I have one of them. Yeah, I think you have two.
 1
 2
                    MR. KELLER: Because every one of my halls
 3
    brought money in.
                    MS. ROGERS: I'm just talking about line 29,
 4
 5
    that's all.
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I don't know what line
 6
 7
    29 is right offhand, so I'm going to -- I'm going to keep
    this to the -- I want to keep this to the subject at hand
 8
 9
    here, guys.
10
                    MR. FARRELL:
                                  Tres, if I can -- I just want to
11
    piggyback on what Kim said. If you go the bingo website,
12
    texasbingo.org, if you go down a page, there's a thing called
13
    State Reports, and state reports is where you can find
14
    information about charities and net proceeds and the way they
1.5
    play and when the occasions are and all kinds of information
16
    like that. So I -- because you said it's fairly available, I
17
    want to make sure people knew where it was when you say that.
                    MS. ROGERS: It's a wealth of information and
18
19
    it's fabulous.
20
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Is there anybody in the
21
    bingo division that can provide a tutorial on how to use it?
22
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, hang on. That's the
23
    next subject. Hang on.
24
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes, there is.
25
```

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let's do this one first,

So just for that for your planning.

25

calendar.

```
CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Does anybody have
 1
 2
    problems coming the day before the commission meeting?
 3
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, sir.
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: That'd be October 1st?
 5
                    MR. DUNCAN: That'd be October 1st.
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: October 1st would be what
 6
 7
    day?
 8
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: A Tuesday.
 9
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Is the October 2nd
10
    meeting the evaluation ---
11
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: It'd sure be a lot easier on
12
    me. Driving back and forth somehow gets a little --
13
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Oh, Tuesday is good as --
14
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah, I can --
1.5
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, if there was a direct
16
    flight from Texarkana Regional, I would probably catch one.
17
                    MR. DUNCAN: We need to keep in mind that we
18
    need to get with them on these rules before that October 2nd
19
    Lottery Commission Meeting that he has to propose to them.
2.0
    And, as Michael said, unless you're willing to sit in here on
21
    the 1st for 8 or 10 hours, we should probably meet informally
22
    or something prior to that commission meeting.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think you're absolutely
24
    right. Why don't we do this, why don't we go ahead and set
2.5
    our formal meeting for October 1st. And then we can set an
```

- 1 | informal meeting, I guess if you will, once we see what the
- 2 | commissioners have to say tomorrow and we can email each
- 3 other. And if we to meet in Dallas or here or somewhere, I
- 4 | think we can probably do that, as long we give 10 days
- 5 notice. Is that correct?
- 6 MR. DUNCAN: That's correct.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
- 8 MR. DUNCAN: All right, because we're going to
- 9 need both these guys, you know.
- 10 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
- MR. VANCE: Well, just to get back to it, so
- 12 that commission meeting's on October 2nd. We have to have
- 13 | our proposed rules sent to the Governor's Office by September
- 14 2nd, because they have to sign off on it before we can even
- 15 | propose it. So we really need your comments on this before
- 16 | September 2nd. Of course, I mean, you're free to comment
- 17 | afterwards. Even if we sent to the governor and the governor
- 18 approves. Tres will be at that commission meeting, he could
- 19 say what he thinks about it on behalf of the committee. Even
- 20 | if they get proposed then there will be a notice of comment
- 21 session that can be modified after that fact. So that it's a
- 22 | long process, but if at all possible we'd appreciate your
- 23 | comments on this by the end of the month.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: So -- okay. So what you gave
- 25 us today, these are the actual proposed changes that are

going to be sent to the Governor's Office. Is that correct? 1 2 MR. VANCE: And the -- this is my take on it. MR. DUNCAN: Only the first two. 3 MR. VANCE: NO, no, no. So the first -- the 5 first two have already been sent to the Governor's Office, and those will be proposed tomorrow. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right. The 882 and 1200. Everything 8 MR. VANCE: 9 under 914 is just kind of my first take at implementing the 10 new laws into the rules. And all that changed. It doesn't -11 - none of that is set in stone. It hasn't been proposed to 12 anybody yet. It's just been provided to you all. 13 appreciate your comments on it and, like I said, this is --14 this is going to be a process over the next four months, and 15 there's all kinds of opportunities to comment and modify it 16 throughout that process. 17 But hopefully we really, if at all possible, 18

like y'all's, you all's input on it by the end of he month so that if there's anything significant that needs to be changed in here and something we can all agree on, I could change it before we send it to the Governor's Office so that we don't have to circle back and -- because we really need these things done by January 1st.

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Go back and --

MR. VANCE: So if I don't have any comments by

- 1 | the end of the month and I send it to the governor's office,
- 2 he says cool, we propose it, and then in November there's a
- 3 | big change, then we're going to have to resubmit it to the
- 4 | Governor's Office, repropose it. it's just going to extend
- 5 | everything out quite a bit.
- 6 CHAIRMAN SMITH: So, if I hear you correctly,
- 7 | you're wanting to get our recommendations and our support
- 8 before August 30th, is that correct?
- 9 MR. VANCE: If at all possible, yeah. And you
- 10 | guys don't have to -- you're not stuck with the other
- 11 | meetings next, so you don't have to have a meeting in this
- 12 room to discuss it. You could call each other, you could
- 13 | email each other, you can handle it however you'd like. But,
- of course, we would hope for some kind of, you know,
- 15 | consensus either on a change or in support of it. And then
- 16 | when it gets proposed in October, of course, Tres you will be
- 17 | there. And we'd appreciate any input you could provide on
- 18 behalf of the committee.
- 19 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
- 20 MR. FARRELL: Let me suggest this just to
- 21 | facilitate. If you want to do a conference call we'll
- 22 | facilitate the conference call. We have a bridge, you can
- 23 | all call into some same number and we'll facilitate the
- 24 | conference call. You pick the date and we'll, if at all
- 25 possible, we'll move around. I'll move the whole schedule

around to get so you have somebody for two to three hours

available. Now you won't have a court recorder. My guess is

you wouldn't have to have a court recorder, you may not those

pieces, but I think what you're talking about is discussion

6 MR. DUNCAN: We're just talking about -- right.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

rules.

MR. FARRELL: Discussion of the rules. But if you -- my next suggestion is, is if you -- if you get that all in and you want to actually have another meeting, then my recommendation would be have that meeting in the interim of October 1st, but I would have -- if you're going to do another meeting, I'd have it somewhere the 9th, 10th or 11th of September, because that gives you two weeks before the commission meeting if you had to -- if you had to sit down and talk. And even though -- even though you're doing the conference call with all of -- but that's -- that gives you a couple weeks because we're going to talk about rules. Having the meeting the day before doesn't give anybody a lot of time to prepare something to talk to the commissioners if you want something important.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Or the next --

23 MR. FARRELL: Having a couple weeks gives you 24 -- gives you time to do that.

So I'm just throwing that out. We'll

- 1 | facilitate a conference call if you want. And if you want to
- 2 | have a meeting my recommendation is you don't do it the
- 3 second, because that's Labor Day week. If you do it the week
- 4 before that's school's starting, you know, there's a lot of
- 5 | those pieces that going on. I don't know how it affects you.
- 6 Doesn't affect me at all, so that's not a problem. And then
- 7 | the 9th, 10th and 11th is beginning of the week about --
- 8 | that's about two and a half, three weeks before you have your
- 9 next meeting. Might be -- might be a good time looking at a
- 10 | calendar.
- MS. ROGERS: I have a question. If you sent -
- 12 when you send this to the Governor's Office on September
- 13 | 1st, 2nd, do -- if we make a comment against something or --
- 14 and they all say, okay, that does make a lot of sense. Do
- 15 | you have to resubmit it to the Governor's Office?
- 16 MR. FARRELL: Defer to Bob on that, I'm not
- 17 | really sure.
- 18 MR. BIARD: No, not necessarily. This is Bob
- 19 Biard, General Counsel. The Governor's Office just wants to
- 20 know what they -- what the agencies are up to. But I think
- 21 | there's specific issue they are mostly interested in across
- 22 | state government and that is property rights, the rules that
- 23 affect property rights. We've never had any negative
- 24 | feedback on anything that we sent them. So I really don't
- 25 think, you know, unless they know that this is the topic that

we're doing rulemaking on. Unless we start the entire process over, you know, if we did that we'd have to send a new memo. But if it's making changes to something that we've already sent them in the same rulemaking proceeding, I don't think we have to resubmit the proposal.

But also I wanted to say that if y'all look at something before the October meeting and the commissioners vote to publish it for public comment, there's still a period where the public could comment on it and even though y'all are on the BAC, you're all still allowed to comment on the proposal. So it's not like that this is your only shot. So even after the commissioners vote to publish it, you still have that 30-day window that you could make comments, or we could make changes to it and bring it back for adoption probably without having to republish it. It would have to be very, very different and affect people who were not affected by the original proposal for us to have to shut it down, start all over.

So typically we can make changes in response to comments without having to start the process all over.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. I just didn't want to cause extra work.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure. I'm not opposed to doing the meeting in September at all. I mean, if you all want to do that. And you said the week of the 9th, you said,

- 1 | Michael, works good for you?
- MR. FARRELL: Yeah. I think 9th, 10th or
- 3 | 11th. I think that it gives you enough time before the next
- 4 | meeting, but also it's past -- it's the week after Labor Day,
- 5 | so things will have settled down I would think.
- 6 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure.
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: On the 12th works for me.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: If we could I would prefer to
- 9 do it on the 9th or the 10th. Lot of activities going on, on
- 10 | the 11th.
- MR. DUNCAN: Or the following week?
- 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Pardon, sir?
- MR. DUNCAN: Or the following week after that,
- 14 | I know where you're going with the Wednesday thing.
- 15 MR. MARTIN: No dove season starts on the
- 16 14th.
- 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: I'm open the 9th, the 10th,
- 18 the 12th and the 13th.
- MR. DUNCAN: The 12th would be good for me.
- 20 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Michael, can you swing that?
- 21 Is that one of your days?
- 22 MR. FARRELL: I won't be here, but I'll have
- 23 | somebody here. I'm not planning on being here.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Good for you. Good
- 25 for you. Anybody else?

```
MS. ROGERS: The 12th is open for me.
 1
 2
                    MR. DUNCAN: Tenth for me, tenth.
 3
                    MS. ROGERS: So this would be our formal
    meeting instead of October 1st.
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                                    That's correct.
                    MS. ROGERS: But we're talking about --
 6
 7
    because the first person I wold like to brief is Mr.
    Fenoglio. I'm guessing he has not seen this.
 8
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: I would imagine not.
10
                    MS. ROGERS:
                                Okay.
11
                    MR. BOURGOYNE: So we're moving to when, the
12
    12th?
13
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, wait a minute, didn't
14
    we say that you needed a recommendation by the 30th of
15
    August? Is that correct?
                    MR. VANCE: Well, if Bob's interpretation is
16
17
    that the governor isn't approving this line by line and word
18
    by word, and they just generally want to know that, hey,
19
    we're making rule amendments pursuant to this new bill. And,
2.0
    based on that, it seems like we could -- I could send a --
21
    send him this, what I've got, and just put them on notice,
22
    these are the rules we're looking at that are going to be
23
    admitted coming up in 30 days. And then, if you guys after
24
    that, after that day, want to make changes or recommend
25
    changes, we can then modify it. As long as it's not
```

something completely different. Without having to resubmit it to the Governor's Office.

And then, even after it gets proposed in October, there will be a 30-day public comment window. We'll almost certainly have a public hearing on it, where we all or anybody else can come in and recommend changes. And, again, as long as it's not a substantial difference between the changes, we could still adopt it in December without having to start the whole process over.

So, I would, you know, I think for us we would appreciate as many comments as we can get before August, so I can change this thing, get it as close as possible to what it's going to look like at the end of the day for the governor's office. But if that just can't happen, or if it doesn't happen, it doesn't sound like it's going to be the end of the world. You guys can -- as long as you get some comment in before the rule is proposed, it's -- this thing could be modified several times throughout the process, but the sooner we get it set in stone the better -- the easier, the smoother, the whole process would be for everybody.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. All right. I think we can all provide comment before August the 30th on our own, or collectively if we need to.

MS. ROGERS: Via email, correct?

CHAIRMAN SMITH: But -- yes, absolutely.

MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 1 2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure. Yeah. And if there's something -- if you all agree on something I can change it 3 before we said if there's a bunch of debate going on we can -5 - I'll just send the draft out. And then when we get together in September we can try to -- try to hammer it out. 6 7 MR. FARRELL: Can I be a wet blanket on this for a second? This is Michael Farrell. You're saying you 8 9 want it -- you've got to put it out by the end of September. 10 Or end of August. 11 CHAIRMAN SMITH: So it's got --12 MR. DUNCAN: September 2nd to the Governor. 13 MR. FARRELL: September 2nd is Labor Day. 14 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay. 1.5 MR. FARRELL: So are we putting it out the 16 Friday before? 17 MR. VANCE: It has to be, yes. Thirty --18 yeah. It would have to be 30 days in advance of --19 MR. FARRELL: So we -- so we need at least two 20 to three days to look at whatever is submitted so we can 21 route it around if we like. 22 MR. DUNCAN: Needs to be the week of the 19th 23 through the 23rd, then. 24 MR. FARRELL: And so probably the 23rd is when you're going to need the comments of it, there's some time to 25

```
route it around to make sure --
 1
 2
                    MR. DUNCAN: You have the whole next week to
    get it in, until Friday.
 3
 4
                    MR. FARRELL: Yeah.
 5
                    MS. ROGERS: So maybe all of us as BAC members
    should take it upon ourselves to study this, figure it out,
 6
 7
    and then each send an email to Tres to let him know we're
    good with it this is our -- and then that goes -- that
 8
 9
    escalates -- maybe we can do a conference. But all of us put
10
    our input in before. Is that okay? Like within the next
11
    week?
12
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Sure. Let's keep it all in
13
    one thread, though.
14
                    MS. ROGERS: Yes.
1.5
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Like, it's very difficult to
16
    look at 43 different emails. Things get lost and, you know,
17
    so --
18
                    MR. FARRELL: You know, I'm happy to be a
19
    part. If you want to copy me on that, that's just fine.
20
                    CHAIRMAN SMITH: Absolutely, we will.
21
    there anyone else you want in on that thread?
22
                    MR. FARRELL: Not right now. I'll make sure -
23
    - I'll make sure that people internal get the -- get the
24
    copy.
```

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And I'm sure we'll not -- put

a couple people from the industry on there as well.

MR. FARRELL: That's fine.

2.0

2.5

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.

MR. FARRELL: Again. I'm going to reiterate what Bob said. This is Michael Farrell, reiterate what Bob said is that you will have time to comment, even if the commission puts it forward. It's just, we're trying to get as clean as possible before it goes forward. But that doesn't mean that you're not going to think of something after it gets published on, you know, I didn't think this might happen. Or I didn't think this was going to happen.

So what I would say don't be the -- don't worry too much about if you don't get it in by the 23rd, because you're trying to flesh it out make sure it make sense, or you talking to folks in the night, because you'll have time to comment on it. Where I think if I can summarize what Bob said was, if we, in turn, all of the sudden change the tack of this -- the tack of this thing, 180 degrees, then it's going to need to be republished. It's going to be a lot of --

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Correct.

MR. FARRELL: But a lot of what's in the law that we're proposing for rules, really there's not a lot of tremendous amount of discussion, because it's written into the law. I think where Tyler's talking about things like

cash and, you know, gift cards and all those pieces would also -- would be places for comment. But I'll Leave it to you to make what you think the comments are.

You should all have a copy of the current rules. It's all online. If not, it's on our bingo website. So, if you're looking up what these rules say, you can see them in context with the other rules that are there.

This isn't -- I would caution you not to make general comments about the rules about something else. We're trying to get this taken care of. That doesn't mean you don't say, hey, I think this is Should be changed, and we'll keep it on the list. But this is particular going to the Governor's Office and, like, on these the law changes.

And I welcome any other changes you want.

That's not -- I'm not trying to stifle any of that. What I am saying is be specific. Like you said, Tres, be specific on the thread for this -- for this rule change.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, for these rule change, yeah.

MR. FARRELL: For these rule change. And if you have something else, create a new thread.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, absolutely.

MS. ROGERS: Focus on what's in red.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: That way when you look at the

25 heading you know exactly what you're getting into.

MR. FARRELL: Absolutely. 1 2 MS. ROGERS: So if we do -- if we do it this 3 way, do we need to have our meeting in September, or do we want to keep it in October to keep them back-to-back? 5 we're taking care of the comments on this so they can have their report to the Governor's Office timely, that's a rush. 6 MR. VANCE: Well, so, I'll comment on that. 7 8 MS. ROGERS: Okay. 9 MR. VANCE: I think when we proposed these 10 rules in October we're, internally, in the legal department, 11 we're going to want to have this wrapped up about a week in 12 advance. So it would be nice -- if you guys had the meeting 13 the day before the commission we'd have to run up there. And 14 if there was substantial changes to the rules at that point 1.5 we'd have to revise everything. The commissioners already 16 provided notebooks with all the rule drafts,  $s\Phi$  think in 17 earlier September would be easier on us. That way if there 18 are changes at that time we can modify the materials that are 19 -- that are being sent out. 20 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well we -- I can do the week 21 of the 9th through the 13th. And I can do that. 22 problem. 23 MS. ROGERS: That's -- it's up to you. 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Is that okay with Will, you

good with that? What day, though?